

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

JAMES NOLTEN BLAIR	)	
	)	
COMPLAINANT	)	
	)	
V.	)	CASE NO. 2006-00222
	)	
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY	)	
	)	
DEFENDANT	)	

O R D E R

On May 30, 2006, James Nolten Blair (“Complainant”) filed with the Commission a formal complaint against Louisville Gas and Electric Company (“LG&E”). The Complainant alleges that LG&E improperly billed him \$979.48 for gas and electric consumption that occurred at his property located at 2414 Pyle Drive after he had requested service be disconnected. By Order dated June 6, 2006, the Commission directed LG&E to satisfy or answer the Complaint. LG&E filed its answer on June 13, 2006, requesting that the case be held in abeyance pending the Commission’s decision in a group of nine cases<sup>1</sup> that involved related issues. The Commission ordered this

---

<sup>1</sup> Case No. 2004-00499, Ada Mae Clem v. Kentucky Utilities Company; Case No. 2005-00118, Jill and Robert Wade v. Kentucky Utilities Company; Case No. 2005-00136, Roy Gaines Walton and Gerald Walton v. Kentucky Utilities Company; Case No. 2005-00423, Robert H. Noe and Dan L. Barnett d/b/a B&D Rentals v. Kentucky Utilities Company; Case No. 2004-00450, John Yuen v. Louisville Gas and Electric Company; Case No. 2004-00497, Curtis E. White v. Louisville Gas and Electric Company; Case No. 2005-00099, Norman L. Dennison v. Louisville Gas and Electric Company; Case No. 2005-00137, Donald Marshall v. Louisville Gas and Electric Company; Case No. 2005-00182, Maria Wilson v. Louisville Gas and Electric Company.

case to be held in abeyance by Order dated July 24, 2006, and has since issued its decisions in the above cases. Based on the decisions in these cases, LG&E, by letter dated October 26, 2006, notified the Commission that it has adjusted the account of Complainant in the total amount of \$979.48<sup>2</sup> as full satisfaction of the complaint.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12(5), upon an offer of satisfaction, a complainant's acceptance of the offer, and the Commission's approval, no further proceedings are necessary. It appears from the record that LG&E has satisfied all of the matters in the complaint. As of the date of this Order, the Commission has received nothing from the Complainant to indicate whether he accepts or rejects LG&E's offer of satisfaction.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Within 10 days of the date of this Order, Complainant shall file with the Commission notice of his acceptance or rejection of LG&E's offer of satisfaction.
2. If no such filing is received, the complaint shall be considered satisfied, and this case shall be closed and removed from the Commission's docket.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2006.

By the Commission

ATTEST:



Executive Director

---

<sup>2</sup> The total amount of \$979.48 consists of the following charges: \$83.80 and \$138.15 for tampering; and \$101.64 and \$656.39 for electric and gas consumption related to such tampering. LG&E letter dated October 26, 2005 at 2.